

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/048,164	Applicant(s) KURIYA ET AL.	
	Examiner Matthew Heneghan	Art Unit 2134	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Matthew Heneghan.

(3) _____.

(2) Scott McKeown.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 May 2007

Time: 2 PM EDT

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23,29

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed amendments to claims 23 and 29 to bring them to allowability. Attorney McKeown agreed to the amendments.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)